

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,966	(05/04/2001	Hiroyuki Hyodo	2803-65479 9327		
7590 02/27/2004				EXAMINER		
Patrick G Burns Esq				RESAN, STEVAN A		
Greer Burns &	Crain L	_t d				
300 S Wacker Dr Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60606				1773		

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed office of Appeal (with appeal feet); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.118 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) timely filed amendment which filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.136 (a) and of the filed rejection, whichever is later. In no owner, toware, toware, the period for reply expises (filed for place) the filed of the filed rejection, owner, the toware, and the statistic period for reply expises (a) the filed of the Advance of the filed rejection, whichever is later. In no owner, thousance, the filed of the filed rejection, owner, the filed of the filed of the propose of the filed rejection. Only, or filed of the	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Examinor Stevan A Reson 1773 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, turniver action by the applicant is required to avoid dashondonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filled broid bandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filled broid bandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.114 may only be either. (1) a timely filled broid bandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.134 may only be either. (1) a timely filled broid bandonment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filled broid bandonment which places the application in condition for a fill of the period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no condition of the final rejection of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no condition of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection. Which the period of reply seglets on (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Which the final rejection of the final rejection. Which the period of the final rejection. Which the period of the final rejection of the final rejection. Which the period of the final rejection of the final rejection. Which the period of the final rejection of the present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	Advisory Action	09/849,966	HYODO ET AL.	nb					
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANGE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) a timely filed divide abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) a timely filed Motion of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or (3) a timely filed Motion of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or (3) in the priod for reply expires or, (1) the mailing date of this Asheavy Actor, or (2) the date and final in the final rejection, venicheser is later. In no control of reply expires or, (1) the mailing date of this Asheavy Actor, or (2) the date and final in the final rejection, venicheser is later. In no control of the final registion of reply expires or, (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST RECTON. See MPEP 766.07(f). THE PRIOR THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST RECTON. See MPEP 766.07(f). The prior of me may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee to date for uniques of determining the petit of any position of the final rejection, even if final office actor, or (2) above, if Checked Any reply revealed by the Office intension and the occurrence of the intension of the final rejection, even if finally final final position in the period of the final rejection, even if finally final final position in the period of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) See the proposed of appeal, will be appeal of the final rejecti	, and a second	Examiner	Art Unit	- () /-					
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a footbody the proper of the proper reply to a footbody to a fine of the proper reply to a footbody to a fine of discourance of the policy of the proper reply to a footbody of the proper reply to a footbody of the proper of the proper reply to a footbody of the proper reply application. A proper reply to a footbody of the proper reply applies on the proper reply applies of the proper reply applies on the property of the proper reply applies on the property of the property o	·	Stevan A. Resan	1773						
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may apply be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The petiod for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY (1) the petiod for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The petiod for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The petiod for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The final rejection which were reply expired for reply expired	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
a) The period for reply expires @ months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or (?) the date set forth in the final rejection. The period for reply expires on (.) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (?) the date set forth in the final rejection. The period for reply septile set of this SX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. See MPEP TOB. 27(f). The period for reply expires on (.) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (.) the set of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The thin SX MONTHS for THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP TOB. 27(f). Extensions of them may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 10 control of the set of the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semmed patent trans adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a) and a set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a) or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The amendments would change the scoop of the claims. NOTE: The amendments would change the scoop of the claims.	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which represents the nowney, with the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the maling date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 760-7(7). Zehon (7). Zehon	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
event, however, will the study period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL ECTION. See MPEP 706.07(7). ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL ECTION. See MPEP 706.07(7). AND CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE THINK TO THE PRIVATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T									
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they arise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The amendments would change the scope of the claims. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The al ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The amendments would change the scope of the claims. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Slevan A. Resan									
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The amendments would change the scope of the claims. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Slevan A. Resausan A. Primary Examiner.	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	ı						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The amendments would change the scope of the claims. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Slevan A. Resausan A. Primary Examiner.	(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
issues for appeal; and/or (d)									
NOTE: The amendments would change the scope of the claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4-9.11-13.18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Slevan A. Resan Primary Examiner	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-9,11-13,18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. □ Other: 	(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	is.					
4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Slevan A. Resan Primary Examiner	NOTE: The amendments would change the scop	e of the claims.							
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-9,11-13,18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
application in condition for allowance because: 6.	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-9,11-13,18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	T place the					
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-9,11-13,18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner 		ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9,11-13,18 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-17</u> . 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered as	and an					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9,11-13,18 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-17</u> . 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-9,11-13,18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) objected to: none.								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9,11-13,18 and 20</u> .								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17.	÷							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
10. Other: Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner									
Stevan A. Resan Primary Examiner		, - <u>F</u>							
MILL 111.3			Primary Examiner	=e_					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)